

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	D'Amore
Given Name	Mildred
Person ID	1286159
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	D'Amore
Given Name	Mildred
Person ID	1286159
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The Greater Manchester Spatial Framework was established as legally compliant, but the legality of Places for Everyone has yet to be established, as there are significant differences.</p> <p>It is unsound because it uses housing need data from 2014, and because of changes in use of office and business buildings in town centres, more are available for use as housing, reducing the need to build on greenbelt.</p> <p>There appear to be no minutes of meetings of Bury Council which discussed the choice of building sites, let alone of any public consultation or discussion.</p> <p>There is no proof of exceptional circumstances, as required in the National Planning Policy Framework, to justify removing some areas from greenbelt protection and substituting others.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>A judicial review to ensure that Places for Everyone is legal.</p> <p>Housing need needs to be reassessed, taking into account different working patterns and office space used due to Brexit and Covid-19.</p> <p>Bury Council need to have properly minuted meetings, allowing public participation, and reasons given for rejection or selection of building sites, and well-publicised public consultation.</p> <p>Justification needs to be given as to why a large greenbelt site can have protection removed, to be replaced by several smaller ones.</p>
Family Name	D'Amore
Given Name	Mildred
Person ID	1286159
Title	Our Strategic Objectives

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Type	Web
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	2. Create neighbourhoods of choice 8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces
Soundness - Positively prepared?	NA
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	NA
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	NA
Family Name	D'Amore
Given Name	Mildred
Person ID	1286159
Title	Our Spatial Strategy
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	D'Amore
Given Name	Mildred
Person ID	1286159
Title	JP-Strat 1 Core Growth Area
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	D'Amore
Given Name	Mildred
Person ID	1286159
Title	JP-J 3 Office Development
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Due to changes in working habits started during the Covid-19 pandemic, it is highly unlikely that as much new office space will be required
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Reduce the amount of new office space required from 1,900,000 sq.m. to perhaps 800,000 sq.m. More of the town centre buildings could then be used for housing, reducing the need to release land from greenbelt.
Family Name	D'Amore
Given Name	Mildred
Person ID	1286159
Title	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The amount of new housing required is based on 2014 data. Brexit and the Covid pandemic could have changed the need.
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Produce a new housing estimate based on up-to-date figures
Family Name	D'Amore
Given Name	Mildred
Person ID	1286159
Title	JP-H 2 Affordability of New Housing
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to	There is no definition of what an "affordable home" would consist of, and for whom it would be affordable.

co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Ensure that local authorities are able to decide the sizes of affordable homes, and give them the power to ensure that developers do build them.
Family Name	D'Amore
Given Name	Mildred
Person ID	1286159
Title	JP-H 3 Type Size and Design of New Housing
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	D'Amore
Given Name	Mildred
Person ID	1286159
Title	JP-G 3 River Valleys and Waterways
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	D'Amore
Given Name	Mildred
Person ID	1286159

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Title	JP-G 5 Uplands
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	D'Amore
Given Name	Mildred
Person ID	1286159
Title	JP-G 6 Urban Green Space
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	D'Amore
Given Name	Mildred
Person ID	1286159
Title	JP-G 7 Trees and Woodland
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	D'Amore

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Given Name	Mildred
Person ID	1286159
Title	JP-G 9 A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Sound
Soundness - Justified?	Sound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Sound
Soundness - Effective?	Sound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Family Name	D'Amore
Given Name	Mildred
Person ID	1286159
Title	JPA 7: Elton Reservoir Area
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>1.The wildlife surveys have been commissioned by Peel Holdings, the developers, so cannot be considered objective and independent.</p> <p>2.A new secondary school has already been funded for Radcliffe, to provide for pupils already in the area. Regeneration of Radcliffe town centre has also already been funded, so neither of these should be included in the plan.</p> <p>3.It is very difficult to see how "off-site highway works to ensure acceptable traffic movement, including works in and around Radcliffe town centre" could be undertaken without destroying the character and regeneration of Radcliffe, as there is already congestion at peak times.</p> <p>4.The new housing in Bury is in the west of Bury at Elton and Bury, whereas the proposed employment area is on the East, near the M66. This will increase traffic, leading to more congestion, not decrease it.</p> <p>5.Bury is releasing enough land for 3,500 homes, although they are only proposing to build 1,900 during the life of PfE. This is contrary to national guidelines.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the	1.Disregard the findings of the surveys and ensure that new surveys are undertaken by totally independent consultants.

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>2.Remove from the plan the mention of a new secondary school and regeneration of Radcliffe, unless there is going to be a second secondary school planned, to provide for all the extra pupils.</p> <p>3. No way to make this sound without scrapping the plan!</p> <p>4.More houses should be built nearer to the proposed employment area, not far away from it.</p> <p>5. Cut the amount of land to be released so that it follows national guidelines for 1,900 houses.</p>
Family Name	D'Amore
Given Name	Mildred
Person ID	1286159
Title	Bury - Green Belt Additions
Type	Web
GBA Bury - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below	<p>Bury GBA07 Off New Road, Radcliffe</p> <p>Bury GBA09 Hollybank Street, Radcliffe</p>
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	Yes
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	Yes
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>These two small isolated patches of additional green belt in no way make up for the loss of the large area of the Elton Reservoir plan, in terms of the many types of biodiversity that only prospers within a large area.</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>Use these two smaller ares for building, and make the Elton Reservoir greenbelt area bigger.</p>